



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,858	12/22/2003	Ravi Tangirala	1427/8	9098

25297 7590 08/31/2007
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.
SUITE 1200, UNIVERSITY TOWER
3100 TOWER BOULEVARD
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

RYMAN, DANIEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/743,858

Applicant(s)

TANGIRALA ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel J. Ryman

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*; 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-20, 26-34, 36 and 37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10, 16, 21-25 and 35 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/03.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 4 “domination” should be “denomination”. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 6, line 24, “domination” should be “denomination” and on page 9, line 19, “MSM 306” should be “switch management module (SMM) 306”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, “token minimum token” should be “minimum token”. Appropriate correction is required.
4. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 4, “the relative time” should be “a relative time”. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 17-20, 26, 27, 29-34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosein (USPN 6,570,847) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.
7. Regarding claims 17 and 29, Hosein discloses a method and system for allocating bandwidth to a queue in a switch, the method comprising the steps of and the system comprising

Art Unit: 2616

means for: (a) receiving a desired bandwidth (desired traffic level) in a standard bandwidth denomination (messages per second) to be provided by a switch (col. 1, line 55-col. 2, line 1); (b) automatically converting the desired bandwidth to a token bucket refresh rate (col. 1, line 55-col. 2, line 1); (c) refreshing at least one token bucket associated with the switch at the token bucket refresh rate (col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1); and (d) scheduling at least one queue in the switch to be serviced based on available tokens in the token bucket (col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1).

Hosein does not expressly disclose receiving, from a user, a desired bandwidth in a standard bandwidth denomination to be provided by a switch since Hosein simply discloses that “the desired traffic level is selected as the number of messages per second that can be handled or received by the network traffic destination” (col. 1, lines 55-57). Applicant admits as prior art that an end user of a switched network element may desire to specify bandwidth (page 5, lines 11-13) where it is implicit that this permits an end user to obtain a particular data rate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive, from a user, a desired bandwidth in a standard bandwidth denomination to be provided by a switch in order to permit an end user to obtain a particular data rate.

8. Regarding claims 18 and 34, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that receiving a desired bandwidth in a standard bandwidth denomination to be provided by a switch includes receiving a desired bandwidth value from the user in a denomination comprising bits per second (Hosein: col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1 and Applicant: page 5, lines 11-13) where bits per second is a well-known unit for bandwidth, and wherein automatically converting the desired bandwidth to a token bucket refresh rate includes automatically converting the bandwidth in bits per second into the token bucket refresh rate (Hosein: col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1).

Art Unit: 2616

9. Regarding claims 19 and 30, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that receiving a desired bandwidth to be provided by a switch includes receiving input from a user regarding minimum and maximum bandwidth values to be provided by a switch (Applicant: page 2, line 3-page 5, line 13) and wherein automatically converting the desired bandwidth to a token bucket refresh rate includes converting the minimum and maximum bandwidth values to first and second token bucket refresh rates at which minimum and maximum token buckets associated with the switch will be refreshed (Hosein: col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1).

10. Regarding claim 20, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that automatically converting the desired bandwidth to a token bucket refresh rate includes automatically writing the token bucket refresh rate into hardware associated with the queue (Applicant: page 3, lines 6-8) where any program or firmware used to calculate the refresh rate is implemented using hardware such that any result of this calculation would be written into hardware.

11. Regarding claims 26 and 36, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that scheduling at least one queue in the switch to be serviced based on available tokens in the token bucket includes scheduling the queue based on bandwidth state of the queue (Applicant: page 2, line 3-page 5, line 13).

12. Regarding claim 27, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that scheduling the queue based on bandwidth state of the queue includes assigning a bandwidth state to the queue based on whether bandwidth consumed by the queue is less than a minimum bandwidth value, between minimum and maximum bandwidth values, or greater than the maximum bandwidth value (Applicant: page 2, line 3-page 5, line 13).

Art Unit: 2616

13. Regarding claim 31, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that the user interface is adapted to receive the minimum and maximum bandwidth values from the user in a standard bandwidth denomination (Applicant: page 2, line 3-page 5, line 13).

14. Regarding claim 32, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that the standard bandwidth denomination comprises bits per second (Applicant: page 5, lines 11-13).

15. Regarding claim 33, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that the bandwidth converter is adapted to convert the bandwidth values from the standard bandwidth denomination to token bucket refresh rates (Hosein: col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 1).

16. Claims 28 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosein (USPN 6,570,847) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art as applied to claims 26 and 36 above, and further in view of Lyles et al. (USPN 5,926,459).

17. Regarding claims 28 and 37, Hosein in view of Applicant discloses that scheduling the queue based on bandwidth state of the queue includes scheduling the queue based on bandwidth state and relative priority of the queue with respect to other queues in the switch (Applicant: page 2, line 3-page 5, line 13). Hosein in view of Applicant does not expressly disclose that scheduling the queue based on bandwidth state of the queue includes scheduling the queue based on relative time that the queue has been serviced as compared to the other queues in the switch. Lyles teaches, in a rate shaping system, that it is known to raise the priority of traffic flows that have not been served in a certain time (col. 5, line 61-col. 6, line 9) where it is implicit that this is done in order to ensure that a low priority flow is not substantially delayed at the expense of higher priority flows. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to schedule the queue based on relative time that the queue has been

Art Unit: 2616

served as compared to the other queues in the switch in order to ensure that one queue is not substantially delayed as compared to the other queues in the switch.

Allowable Subject Matter

18. Claims 1-16 are allowed. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest converting the bandwidth into a base bandwidth value and a residual bandwidth value and then using these values to compute two separate token refresh rates.

19. Claims 21-25 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest converting the bandwidth into a base bandwidth value and a residual bandwidth value and then using these values to compute two separate token refresh rates.

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johri (USPN 5,625,622) see entire document which pertains to a leaky bucket system that permits various token refresh sizes. Guibert (USPN 5,815,491) see entire document which pertains to using multiple parallel replenishing rates implemented in multiple parallel token buckets to regulate a single data flow.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Ryman
Examiner
Art Unit 2616

